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Hurricane and Severe Storm Sentinel

- Multi-year mission targeted to investigate the processes that underlie hurricane formation and intensity change in the Atlantic-Caribbean-Gulf region in the years 2012, 2013, and 2014.
- Two Global Hawks unmanned aircraft system (UAS)
  - High-altitude, long endurance aircraft
  - One equipped for the storm environment (FL#872), one for over-storm flight (FL#871)
  - 4-5 week Deployments of GHs in 2012-2014 from Wallops Flight Facility in VA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Storm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Sept 07 – Sept 26</td>
<td>Nadine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Aug 20 – Sept 23</td>
<td>Gabrielle Humberto</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tropical Storms with GH dropsondes
Global Hawk Dropsondes

- **Airborne Vertical Atmospheric Profiling System (AVAPS)**
  - System has 88-sonde and 8-channel capacity so it can track 8 sondes simultaneously
- **Dropsonde characteristics:**
  - Sensors based on Vaisala RS-92 radiosonde sensor module
  - Winds based on OEM GPS receiver and position

### Observation | Conversion for DA
--- | ---
UTC Time |  
Latitude/Longitude |  
Pressure |  
Dry-bulb temperature | Virtual Temperature
Relative humidity | Specific Humidity
Wind Speed | U- & V-component Winds
Wind Direction |  

GH dropsonde profiles
HWRF Data Assimilation System Upgrades for 2014 Implementation

- Data assimilation performed on ghost d02 (20°x20°, 9km) and ghost d03 (10°x10°, 3km) after vortex initialization. GFS analysis is used in outer domain. No DA for outer domain.
- GSI hybrid analysis using global EnKF 80 ensemble member.
- First guess:
  - TC environment cold start from GDAS forecast
  - TC vortex cycled from HWRF forecast
  - First Guess at Appropriate Time (FGAT)
- Observational data:
  - ghost d02: conventional data (including dropsonde data), TDR data and Satellite Data
  - ghost d03: conventional data (including dropsonde data) and TDR data (no satellite data for 3km analysis)

Satellite radiance assimilation for 9km (ghost_d02) domain:
- use GFS-HWRF blended vertical coordinate (75 levels)
- use bias correction estimations from global analysis
- use GFS ozone profiles

Satellite Data:
Radiances from IR instruments (HIRS, AIRS, IASI, GOES Sounders), Radiances from MW instruments (AMSU-A, MHS, ATMS), Satellite derived wind, GPS RO bending angle
Data assimilation upgrades for operational HWRF for 2014 hurricane season

1. Apply regional hybrid GSI analysis for both D2 (9km) and ghost (3km) domains
2. Assimilate the conventional data and TDR, GSPRO, satellite derived wind, brightness temperature from IR instruments (HIRS, AIRS, IASI, GOES Sounder) and MW instruments (AMSU-A, MHS, ATMS)
3. Set satellite thinning box to 90 KM for IR instruments, and 45 KM for MW instruments
4. Change 3-hourly FGAT to hourly FGAT – provide more accurate first guess fields, especially for fast moving and developing storms
5. Further refinements in TDR data assimilation including advanced thinning strategies and removal of surface pressure flag (include it in assimilation); add dropsonde data in the inner core when available (especially temperature and moisture data; winds within 3*RMW excluded).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt</th>
<th>D01 (27km)</th>
<th>ghost_d02 (9km)</th>
<th>ghost_d03 (3km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T14C</td>
<td>Using GFS analysis</td>
<td>Conventional, satellite radiance, satellite wind, GPS RO, TDR and dropsondes (excluding inner-core winds)</td>
<td>Conventional, TDR and dropsonde (excluding inner core winds)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2014 HWRF Final Results: Atlantic Track Errors 2008-2013

Late Model Verification

HWRF FORECAST – TRACK ERROR (NM) STATISTICS
VERIFICATION H213 & H214 ATL 2008–2013

~10% improvement at days-4 & 5
2014 HWRF Final Results: Atlantic Intensity Errors 2008-2013
Late Model Verification

Improvements at all time levels, ~10%
Focus area for improvements: Much to do with getting more accurate initial vortex structure and environment (where observations and DA are critical)
### Assimilation of GH dropsondes in HWRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXP</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HWRF</td>
<td>Operational HWRF 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA2</td>
<td>Proposed 2014 configuration of operational HWRF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Based on operational HWRF 2013 plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- raised model top (from 50 hPa to 2 hPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- increased vertical levels (from 43 to 61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assimilate conventional and clear-sky satellite radiance data only in the nested domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assimilate conventional + GH dropsondes in inner domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB2</td>
<td>Based on DSA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assimilate GH dropsondes with reduced obs error in inner domain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Observation errors:**
  - Temperature, moisture, and wind errors are assigned as a function of vertical pressure

- **Potential issues with GH dropsondes assimilation:**
  - When available, data has good temporal and spatial coverage in the inner domain; however data is not available for every cycle
  - Dropsondes drift problem; the GPS measured geo-locations at each pressure level are not included in PREPBUFR
Life Cycle of **NADINE 14L 2012**

Cycles have GH dropsondes (Wmax > 25 KT)
Hurricane Nadine 14L 2012

~10% improvement in track forecasts

~15% improvement in intensity forecasts at 12-60hr interval

Much improved storm size forecasts

Verification for HWRF track and intensity forecast from cycles with Global Hawk dropsondes
Life Cycle of **Gabrielle** 07L 2013

- Blue: Cycles have GH dropsondes (Wmax > 25 KT)
- Orange: Cycles have GH dropsondes (Wmax <= 25 KT)

![Graph showing the life cycle of Gabrielle 07L 2013 with TC intensity and dates from 08/31 to 09/12.]
Verification for HWRF forecasts for TS Gabrielle: much improved intensity forecasts
Life Cycle of **Humberto** 2013

- Blue circles represent cycles with GH dropsondes (Wmax > 25 KT).
- Orange circles represent cycles around those with GH dropsondes.

The graph shows the intensity of TC (Knots) over time (12Z) from 09/08 to 09/18. The timeline includes the stages of a tropical storm and hurricane.
Humberto 09L 2013

Verification for HWRF forecasts for H. Humberto: Much improved intensity forecasts
Impact of changes to Data Assimilation and Vortex Initialization for 2014 HWRF

1. Match the initial maximum wind speed over the lands
2. Remove the vorticity discontinuity along the filter domain
3. Avoid cold starts for the first cycle of named/numbered storm through cycling of vortex from Invest cases
4. Assimilate all available aircraft data (TDR and dropsondes including those from P3/GIV, no SFMR/FL)
5. Adding HS3 dropsondes gave expected benefits

Strong intensity bias reduced
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Summary

• HWRF Data Assimilation System (HDAS) is configured to assimilate HS3 GH Dropsondes in the environment (outer domain) and in the inner core area (3 km nest).

• Several different experiments were designed: Control (2013 operational HWRF); GH dropsonde assimilation experiment with assigning original obs errors (DSA2); and another experiment with reduced obs errors by half (DSB2)

• All available GH dropsondes were assimilated and experiments were run to assess the impact on hurricane track, intensity and size forecasts.

• Impact from “direct” assimilation cases and “overall” impact was assessed for three storms Hurricane Nadine (2012), TS Gabrielle (2013) and TS Humberto (2013). A few cases with dropsonde data did not qualify as Tropical Storms.

• A general positive impact on track and intensity forecasts was noted from the assimilation of GH dropsondes, and the experiment with reduced obs errors is found to produce better results compared to the initial attempts assigned with original obs errors in the DA system

• Along with assimilation of other aircraft recon data (TDR and dropsondes from NOAA aircraft), HWRF model is capable of assimilating HS3 GH dropsondes in real-time for 2014 season when available.

• Future studies will explore further optimum utilization of GH sondes along with HIRAD/HIWRAP.

• Impact studies will focus on identifying the contribution from
  – Inner core vs. environmental dropsondes
  – Information from lower troposphere (below 400 hPa) vs. from above 400 hPa